Appendix B – Late and anonymous comments

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
29 June	GT0306	*		Land east of Knockholt	Object	* Greenbelt and AONB * two sites in area already * not in
				station, Halstead		keeping with local character * contrary to planning policies
						that other developments must abide by * use brownfield sites
29 June	GT0307	*		Land at Fort Halstead,	Object	* Two sites already in the area * greenbelt, AONB, proximity to
				Halstead (outside of major		PROWs * not in keeping with local character * not consistent
				developed site boundary)		with policies for other developments * use brownfield sites
30 June	GT0337	*		Holly Mobile Home Park,	Object	* Too many sites in small area * other developments not
				Hockenden Lane, Swanley		permitted on green belt land * not in keeping with local
						character * impact on schools, and other amenities seems to
						have been disregarded
30 June	GT0338	*		Robertsons Nursery,	Object	* Uneven and unfair distribution of sites within the district *
				Goldsel Road, Swanley		high concentration of sites within north of the district *
00.1	070004	-t-			01:	greenbelt * impact on limited local services and amenities
30 June	GT0291	*		Land east of Knockholt	Object	* No need for more sites in Halstead/Knockholt
20 1	0.0000	*		station, Halstead	Object	sk Nieuward Communication in Halakard /// and black
30 June	GT0290	^		Land at Fort Halstead,	Object	* No need for more sites in Halstead/Knockholt
				Halstead (outside of major		
2 July	GT0414	*		developed site boundary) Land east of Knockholt	Object	* Busy road and expansion will cause a problem
2 July	G10414	^			Object	* Busy road and expansion will cause a problem
2 July	GT0413	*		station, Halstead Land at Fort Halstead,	Object	* Worries over potential expansion once designation is in
2 July	G10413			Halstead (outside of major	Object	place
				developed site boundary)		place
4 July	GT0571	Kenneth		Land south of Mesne Way,	Observations	* AONB * limited local facilities and amenities * atmosphere
- July	010071	Topley		part of Timberden Farm,	Obscivations	will change within the village * mentions incidents of
		Торюу		Shoreham		stereotypical behaviour * tourism to the area will be affected
4 July	GT0573	Sidney and		Malt House Farm, Lower	Object	* Pressure on limited local services and facilities * pressure
1.55		Shelia Rogers		Road, Hextable		on local roads * lack of public transport
5 July	GT0572	Alan Brown		Chapter 7 - Potential site	Observations	* Unfair and uneven distribution of sites across the district *
				options		feels more should be moved to the south
6 July	GT0806	Maria Buckley		Consultation question -	Observations	* Barnfield is a good example. Well planned parking. Pitches
				Design and layout		shouldn't be on top of one another so a decent sized pitch
				guidance		required
6 July	GT0815	Sally		Consultation question -	Observations	* Decent drainage * amenities * bigger sheds * connected to
		Campbell		Design and layout		good electricity supply * double bays
				guidance		

Date	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of	Summary
submitted					response	
6 July	GT0825	Jane Dunn		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Consider acreage of land. * Take into account people's interests, e.g. if you know someone has horses, provide stables. * Ask the people what they need. * Make plots big and versatile. * Don't make them all single plots and don't put them close together. * At least 4 parking spaces.
6 July	GT0835	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Be aware of child safety, there should be space for children to play rather than be on the road. * Parking bays needed. * There shouldn't be ditches on side of road (as in Barnfield) as ambulances can't get down there. * Smaller entrances.
6 July	GT0845	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Improved signage and layout to not include too many bends - decreases visibility
6 July	GT0855	Celia Eastwood		Consultation – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Plenty of room between pitches * accessibly roads * good drainage * larger sheds
6 July	GT0856	Celia Eastwood		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* 2 additional pitches should be put along entrance to Barnfield to stop fly-tipping
6 July	GT0863	Precilla Eastwood		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Good drainage, * bigger brick sheds, * better electrics, * more support in Winter (older people are vulnerable), * strong fencing and gates, * some pitches for non-chalet style vans.
6 July	GT0807	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
6 July	GT0816	Sally Campbell		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Object	* Doesn't agree with rejected site options * Barnfield park could expand by an additional 5/6 pitches * Could also look at single pitches/reduced size pitches
6 July	GT0826	Jane Dunn		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Object	* Disagrees with rejected site options – Barnfield Park could extend with an additional 5 pitches
6 July	GT0836	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Concerns over community cohesion * priority should be given to families as residents claim it to be a "family site"
6 July	GT0837	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Observations	* Entrance to Barnfield needs work
6 July	GT0846	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Object	* Doesn't agree with rejected site options
6 July	GT0864	Precilla Eastwood		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Object	* Barnfield Park needs more pitches to prevent fly-tipping * doesn't agree with rejected site options
6 July	GT0805	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Support	* Sees no issue in providing additional pitches for the TS community
6 July	GT0814	Sally		Consultation question -	Support	* Additional plots for TS community would be needed

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
		Campbell		Travelling showpeople		
6 July	GT0824	Jane Dunn		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees that there should be some provision of pitches for the TS community
6 July	GT0834	Louise Brown		Travelling showpeople Consultation question –	Object	* No provision should be made for the TS community
6 July	G10634	Louise Brown		Travelling showpeople	Object	* No provision should be made for the 15 community
6 July	GT0844	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Observations	* Unsure about creating provision for the TS community
6 July	GT0854	Eastwood		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Observations	* Unsure about creating provision for the TS community
6 July	GT0802	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
6 July	GT0811	Sally Campbell		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Object	* Concerned about the opposition from the local community * sites are good but neighbours will oppose
6 July	GT0821	Jane Dunn		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
6 July	GT0831	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
6 July	GT0841	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
6 July	GT0842	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Agrees with initial site assessments * calls for smaller sites to create community on G&T sites, unlike Barnfield as it is too big * visitor spaces are a good idea
6 July	GT0851	Celia Eastwood		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
6 July	GT0801	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Criteria	Observations	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
6 July	GT0810	Sally Campbell		Consultation question – Criteria	Observations	* Agrees with criteria * asks for more consideration on site access and status on ethnic minorities
6 July	GT0820	Jane Dunn		Consultation question - Criteria	Observations	* Agrees with criteria * should have tough sanctions on those creating problems on unauthorised sites * education needs to have greater emphasis in the criteria as the G&T community recognise the importance of it * permission should be given to

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
						families/those not causing trouble * learn from mistakes at Barnfield Park * ensure G&T culture is protected
6 July	GT0830	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * size of sites and distance between pitches should be taken into account due to fire hazards * access to site (including ditches either side of the access, lighting) should be taken into account
6 July	GT0840	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered * try to balance the size of plots so everyone has an equal sized pitch
6 July	GTo850	Celia Eastwood		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * planning permissions given to those who are not causing trouble * consideration should be given to drainage * utility buildings should be bigger
6 July	GT0860	Precilla Eastwood		Consultation question – Criteria	Observations	* No appropriate criteria and should allow the G&T community to find their own land * should look at cases individually to assess the need
6 July	GT0800	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Don't know if the number of pitches is appropriate as the G&T community is expanding all the time * definition needs to be worked on to include travellers who don't want to travel anymore but who don't want to live in a house
6 July	GT0809	Sally Campbell		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough as 12 years is a long time and need will increase * agree that the council plans for the G&T planning definition
6 July	GT0819	Jane Dunn		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition
6 July	GT0829	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition * provision should be made for the poorest families rather than TS's as they are earning
6 July	GT0839	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Unsure if 72 pitches is an appropriate number * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition
6 July	GT0849	Celia Eastwood		Consultation question – Evidence base	Object	* 72 pitches is not enough for future need * unsure whether planning for the G&T planning definition is good or bad
6 July	GT0859	Eastwood		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Not enough sites proposed for the district * need to look at neighbouring authorities to see what they are providing * agree with planning for the G&T planning definition
6 July	GT0799	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Main aim and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims to consider
6 July	GT0808	Sally		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * additional aims

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
		Campbell		Main aim and objectives		need to take families into account * observation – sites with planning permission will increase in value
6 July	GT0818	Jane Dunn		Consultation question – Main aim and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * believes families on sites should have priority before new residents occupy the site
6 July	GT0828	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Main aim and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims to consider
6 July	GT0838	Peter Hare		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims to consider * there should be a play area for children on the sites as nowhere for them to go
6 July	GT0848	Celia Eastwood		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * healthcare is important and needs to be considered * the plan should ensure that access on/off site is easy
6 July	GT0858	Precilla Eastwood		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * believes that individual circumstances should be taken into account
6 July	GT0756	Tom *		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * Shoreham is not suitable * Additional space for visitors needed
6 July	GT0794	Amber *		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is not appropriate * include spaces for visitors and transients
6 July	GT0803	Maria Buckley		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is appropriate * include spaces for visitors
6 July	GT0812	Sally Campbell		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is too low * spaces for visitors should fall on the responsibility of their hosts
6 July	GT0813	Sally Campbell		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* No additional sites to suggest
6 July	GT0822	Jane Dunn		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of sites should be determined by the size of the site * visitor spaces should be included
6 July	GT0832	Louise Brown		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is appropriate but may need more in the future * visitor spaces are needed
6 July	GT0852	Celia Eastwood		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agrees with the initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is appropriate * include spaces for visitors
6 July	GT0861	Precilla Eastwood		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Add 5-10 pitches at the entrance to Barnfield Park * do not include visitor spaces
6 July	GT0570	Rita Radford		Land at Fort Halstead, Halstead (outside of major	Object	* Greenbelt and AONB * pressure on local services and amenities * concerns over road safety * pressure on schools

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
				developed site boundary)		and medical facilities * ancient woodland
6 July	GT0565	Ken and Jane		Land at Fort Halstead,	Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities and amenities * pressure
		Cooper		Halstead (outside of major		on local schools * ancient woodland * size of the proposals
				developed site boundary)		are unrealistic * access issues * concerns over road safety *
						greenbelt and AONB
6 July	GT0575	Hugh D'Alton		Chapter 7 – Potential site options	Support	* No objections
6 July	GT0576	Kevin Bown	Highways	Chapter 7 - Potential site	Observations	* Reiterates responsibilities as a landowner * ensure any
			Agency	options		allocations are suitable in terms of both statutory regulations
						and standards applying to air quality, noise and the like, but
						also the likely expectations of those living on such sites *
						consult the HA on any applications that by their location or
						likely use may impact on the strategic road network
6 July	GT0566	Ken and Jane		Chapter 7 - Potential site	Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * unfair to
0.1.1	070047	Cooper		options		residents in the north
6 July	GT0817	Sally		Chapter 7 – Potential site	Observations	* Consultee would like to purchase their own plot
G link	CT0007	Campbell		options Chapter 7 Petential site	Observations	* At Dawsfield the shade need to be bigger and the dusiners
6 July	GT0827	Jane Dunn		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* At Barnfield the sheds need to be bigger and the drainage improved
6 July	GT0847	Peter Hare		Chapter 7 - Potential site	Observations	* Doesn't agree with the rejected site options
_				options		
6 July	GT0857	Celia		Chapter 7 - Potential site	Observations	* At Barnfield there should be lighting around the site so that
	070005	Eastwood		options		the children can play at night time * mains electric is needed
6 July	GT0865	Precilla Eastwood		Chapter 7 – Potential site options	Observations	* Need more support from KCC in the winter at Barnfield
7 July	GT0599	Stephen		Consultation question -	Observations	* Understands and supports the council in finding sites in the
		Lindsay		Evidence base		district * concerns with the quality of the GTAA * accuracy and
						reliability of the data collected * concerns of bias within the
						report * Shoreham Parish Council suggests that only 24
						pitches are needed over a 5 year period
7 July	GT0577	Tracy Godden	Dunton	Land at Fort Halstead,	Object	* Limited public transport * concerns over road safety at Star
			Green	Halstead (outside of major		Hill * pressure on local services and facilities * greenbelt and
			Parish Council	developed site boundary)		AONB * ancient woodland and wildlife site
7 July	GT0578	Tracy Godden	Dunton	Chapter 7 - Potential site	Object	* Uneven distribution of sites within the district * GTAA is
			Green	options		formed on the basis of G&Ts making the assessment for the
			Parish			need themselves * clarity needed over the need to
			Council			accommodate TS's * population alterations * enough sites

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
9 July	GT0598	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	within the area * Acknowledge plots would be bigger to accommodate equipment
9 July	GT0698	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* To look like the area, to fit in with the surrounding area/community. * To get sheds to blend in. * Have an allocated area for horses, stables. Access for lorries and space for parking. Make the plot and site large enough
9 July	GT0708	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Concerns over location of sites * Amenity block improvements and access to them * Near to a school and hospital. * Don't put out in the middle of nowhere
9 July	GT0590	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Duty to cooperate	Observations	* Unable to help SDC
9 July	GT0699	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
9 July	GT0709	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
9 July	GT0597	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Observations	* Lesser provision should be weighted against the current and future need
9 July	GT0697	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Observations	* Provision not needed for the TS community
9 July	GT0707	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Support	* Sees no issue with additional pitches for the TS community
9 July	GT0592	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	* Agree with approach to future provision * no alternative ways to pitch requirements
9 July	GT0694	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	* Happy with how the sites were identified
9 July	GT0704	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	* Happy with how the sites were identified
9 July	GT0591	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question - Criteria	Observations	* Criteria is appropriate * no additional criteria needed * London Gypsy Traveller Unit (LGTU) objected to emphasis placed on flood zones as they believe it can be mitigated with measures similar to conventional housing * criteria to look for

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
						solutions rather than stopping development
9 July	GT0693	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered * comments that the majority of the district is in the greenbelt
9 July	GT0703	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * unsure about additional criteria
9 July	GT0589	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Agree * GTAA similar to evidence from Bromley * G&T planning definition fulfilled
9 July	GT0692	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Evidence base	Support	* Agrees with 72 pitches * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition
9 July	GT0702	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Evidence base	Support	* Agrees with 72 pitches * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition
9 July	GT0588	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no other aims or objectives need to be considered
9 July	GT0691	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * finds it unsettling to keep applying for temporary planning permission
9 July	GT0701	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims to consider
9 July	GT0595	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* If vacant pitches are available then these could be used for additional space for residents
9 July	GT0593	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Acknowledges the difficulties the council has in accommodating need
9 July	GT0594	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with the exception of Knockholt station intensification and Hocken Lane site
9 July	GT0695	Albert and Amy Coates		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * agrees with the extension of some sites * sites need to be maintained properly
9 July	GT0705	Teresa Nolan		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agrees with initial site assessments * include spaces for visitors
9 July	GT0710	Teresa Nolan		Holly Mobile Home Park, Hockenden Lane, Swanley	Observations	* Would be happy to see the site made permanent
9 July	GT0700	Albert and Amy Coates		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* Cases should be looked at individually * G&T community want to settle * want to keep good relationship with the neighbours

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
10 July	GT0873	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Good fencing and facilities
10 July	GT0883	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Barnfield is ideal. A good size is important but each pitch needs privacy. It would be useful to have an area that could house a skip to prevent fly-tipping.
10 July	GT0874	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Observations	* Unsure about rejected site options
10 July	GT0884	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Observations	* Unsure about rejected site options
10 July	GT0872	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Support	* Should include provision for the TS community
10 July	GT0882	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No additional provision for the TS community
10 July	GT0869	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
10 July	GT0879	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
10 July	GT0868	Joan Jermine		Consultation question - Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
10 July	GT0878	Bill Buckley		Consultation question - Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
10 July	GT0877	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Evidence base	Support	* Agrees with 72 pitches * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition, however the definition may need to change to reflect 'settled' travellers
10 July	GT0866	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims to consider
10 July	GT0867	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with 72 pitches * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition
10 July	GT0876	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * believes that the mixing of English and Irish travellers should be taken into account
10 July	GT0870	Joan Jermine		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agree with initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is appropriate * include spaces for visitors
10 July	GT0880	Bill Buckley		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Does not agree with the site options as the distribution of sites is unequal across the district * number of pitches per

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
						site is appropriate * no spaces for visitors
10 July	GT0587	Gillian King Scott	Halstead Parish Council	Land east of Knockholt station, Halstead	Observations	* Concerns over appeals allowed for temporary consent, despite sites not being suitable to accommodate G&Ts * inclusion of appeal sites in the plan is inappropriate
10 July	GT0875	Joan Jermine		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* At Barnfield the fencing needs to be improved * 2-3 pitches can be accommodated along the entrance road to prevent fly-tipping
10 July	GT0885	Bill Buckley		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* Public sites should house people according to whether they already have family at the site – bringing strangers in can cause problems
13 July	GT0718	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Sites need to have security – an electronic gate and CCTV * outside wash house and outside toilet * have two pitches on one plot (as per the description of a pitch described in the consultation document) * need a transient site for overnight stopping
13 July	GT0719	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Observations	* Agrees but Barnfield Park could use the approach road for 5 additional pitches
13 July	GT0717	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Support	* Provision should be made for the TS community
13 July	GT0714	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	* Happy with how the sites were identified
13 July	GT0713	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered * need to manage public sites to mitigate impacts on local communities
13 July	GT0712	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Doubling the 72 pitches proposed is more appropriate * need to account for transient communities
13 July	GT0711	Sally Brown		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Observations	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * the council must be sensible when allocating sites * G&Ts should be able to buy land
13 July	GT0715	·		Consultation question – Site assessments	Object	* Fort Halstead is the better option over Shoreham * Potential problems with the mixing of G&T communities * no objections to visitor spaces however they must only be used for visitors, not transients
13 July	GT0721	Sally Brown		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* Disagrees with the Shoreham site allocation due to poor access * concerns over management of public sites and urges better controls for rubbish * agreements on pitches to ensure

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
						enforcement * mixing of different G&T groups can cause friction
14 July	GT0729	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Shed for utilities. * 2-3 parking spaces and space for a tourer. * Should have double pitches. * Visitor space for family visitors in case family need to stay for a short time, for example to care for elderly relative or daughter is due to have a baby and needs support. * Pitches should be 60ft x 50ft. Must have green space, not concreted over and space for a pet, for example a dog. * Horses land should be down to the individual to get.
14 July	GT0730	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
14 July	GT0728	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Observations	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community
14 July	GT0725	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Agrees with the site allocations and how they were identified
14 July	GT0724	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question - Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria, but importance should be made on education and getting children into schools
14 July	GT0723	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Evidence base	Support	* Agrees with 72 pitches * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning definition
14 July	GT0722	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims to consider
14 July	GT0726	John and Sharon Clarke		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of pitches proposed per site is appropriate * should include spaces for visitors
14 July	GT0731	John and Sharon Clarke		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* Education in the G&T community is becoming increasingly important
15 July	GT0739	Nelson Ambrose Ridley		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Soft landscaping for screening – i.e. hedges over wire fences * Space between pitches * Garden area * Utility rooms made from brick and tile, rather than sheds
15 July	GT0749	Maureen *		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Build day rooms with brick and have weather proof roofing
15 July	GT0759	Tom *		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Tarmac the road and have street lights
15 July	GT0769	Louise *		Consultation question -	Observations	* Road bumps/ramps and electronic security gates *

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
				Design and layout guidance		children's park
15 July	GT0779	Jamie *		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Agreed with tarmac entrance and street lighting * separate day room
15 July	GT0788	Lacey *		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Fences around each individual pitch * separate day room with toilet, shower and washing machine and dryer
15 July	GT0797	Amber *		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Agreed with comments made by other residents at Valley Park
15 July	GT0740	Nelson Ambrose Ridley		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0750	Maureen *		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0760	Tom *		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0770	Louise *		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0780	Jamie *		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Observations	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0789	Lacey *		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0798	Amber *		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
15 July	GT0738	Nelson Ambrose Ridley		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No, TS community usually have private yards
15 July	GT0748	Maureen *		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community
15 July	GT0758	Tom *		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* TS's normally have space - don't know any in Sevenoaks
15 July	GT0768	Louise *		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community
15 July	GT0778	Jamie *		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community
15 July	GT0787	Lacey *		Consultation question -	Observations	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
				Travelling showpeople		
15 July	GT0796	Amber *		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community
15 July	GT0735	Nelson Ambrose Ridley		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0745	Maureen *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0755	Tom *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0765	Louise *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0775	Jamie *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0776	Jamie *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	* Agrees with initial assessments but believes Shoreham will not go ahead * the number of pitches proposed for each site is not appropriate * include space for visitors and transients
15 July	GT0784	Lacey *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0793	Amber *		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
15 July	GT0744	Maureen *		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
15 July	GT0754			Consultation question - Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
15 July	GT0764	Louise *		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
15 July	GT0774	Jamie *		Consultation question - Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered
15 July	GT0783	Lacey *		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with criteria * suggests that additional criteria should be considered but made no suggestions on what that might be
15 July	GT0792	Amber *		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with criteria * no additional criteria to be considered

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
				Odtovio		
45.1.1	070700	N. I		Criteria		
15 July	GT0733	Nelson		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches will not meet demand for the expanding G&T
		Ambrose		Evidence base		community * the council is right to plan for the G&T planning
		Ridley				definition
15 July	GT0734	Nelson		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with criteria * believes that sites should be restricted
		Ambrose		Evidence base		to 6 single pitches, which can expand if required * smaller
		Ridley				sites mitigate impact on the local community
15 July	GT0743	Maureen *		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is
				Evidence base		right to plan for the G&T planning definition
15 July	GT0753	Tom *		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is
				Evidence base		right to plan for the G&T planning definition
15 July	GT0763	Louise *		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is
				Evidence base		right to plan for the G&T planning definition
15 July	GT0773	Jamie *		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is
10 July	010775	Janne		Evidence base	Obscivations	right to plan for the G&T planning definition
15 July	GT0782	Lacey *		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is
13 July	010782	Lacey		Evidence base	Observations	right to plan for the G&T planning definition
15 July	GT0791	Amber *		Consultation question -	Observations	* 72 pitches is not enough to meet the need * the council is
13 July	G10791	Allibei		Evidence base	Observations	right to plan for the G&T planning definition
15 July	GT0732	Nelson		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
		Ambrose		Main aims and objectives		to consider
		Ridley				
15 July	GT0742	Maureen *		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
_				Main aims and objectives		to consider
15 July	GT0752	Tom *		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
				Main aims and objectives		to consider
15 July	GT0762	Louise *		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
, ,				Main aims and objectives		to consider
15 July	GT0772	Jamie *		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
,				Main aims and objectives		to consider
15 July	GT0781	Lacey *		Consultation question -	Observations	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
,		,		Main aims and objectives		to consider
15 July	GT0790	Amber *		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims
10 501,	3.3.33			Main aims and objectives		to consider
15 July	GT0736	Nelson		Consultation question -	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * believes public sites
		Ambrose		Site assessments	2.555.13410110	should be smaller * transient sites are needed for G&Ts
		Ridley				working in the area
	I	Talaloy		l	l	morning in the drea

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
15 July	GT0746			Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * not enough pitches proposed and suggests that sites are managed by a G&T to mitigate impacts on the local community * include spaces for visitors
15 July	GT0757	Tom *		Consultation question – Site assessments	Support	* Agrees with the 5 pitches proposed at Valley Park
15 July	GT0766	Louise *		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * Shoreham site should be removed * number of pitches proposed is not enough * include spaces for visitors
15 July	GT0785	Lacey *		Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Agrees with initial site assessments * number of pitches per site is not appropriate * include spaces for visitors and transients
15 July	GT0741	Nelson Ambrose Ridley		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* Communication breakdown with neighbours regarding proposals
15 July	GT0751	Maureen *		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* The council should look at leases for a set period of time which can be run by the G&T community
15 July	GT0761	Tom *		Chapter 7 – Potential site options	Observations	* An extension of a site is preferred over a new site * people have more control and take pride when living on a private site
15 July	GT0771	Louise *		Chapter 7 - Potential site options	Observations	* Better management of sites is required e.g. employ a Gypsy warden
16 July	GT0747	Maureen *		Consultation question – Additional sites	Observations	* Agrees with the proposed 5 pitches at Valley Park
16 July	GT0767	Louise *		Consultation question – Additional sites	Support	* Agrees with the proposed 5 pitches at Valley Park
16 July	GT0777	Jamie *		Consultation question – Additional sites	Support	* Agrees with the proposed 5 pitches at Valley Park
16 July	GT0786	Lacey *		Consultation question – Additional sites	Support	* Agrees with the proposed 5 pitches at Valley Park
16 July	GT0795	Amber *		Consultation question – Additional sites	Observations	* Agrees with the proposed 5 pitches at Valley Park * no additional sites to consider
17 July	GT0612	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Design can only be given site-by-site assessments * too early to include design criteria at this stage
17 July	GT0613	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Rejected site options	Observations	* No comment to make
17 July	GT0611	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Observations	* TS's should be accommodated as GTAAs and needs assessments are not always accurate * specific policy should

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
						he developed for the TC's
17 July	GT0608	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Observations	be developed for the TS's * Agrees with approach to future pitch provision * recognises public and private sites in line with aims of PPTS * 15 pitch maximum does not have to be applied on sites * no alternative ways to meet additional pitch requirements
17 July	GT0606	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Criteria	Observations	* Address appropriate planning considerations * DCLG site guidance should only apply to public sites * greenbelt criteria should be amended to reflect district constraints * no additional criteria needed
17 July	GT0607	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Criteria	Observations	* All criteria should be weighted against national policy * should be applicable to both site allocations and development control * recognises constraints of the greenbelt with all suggested sites
17 July	GT0604	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Issues with GTAA * unclear on the previous site assessment whether planning permission was being granted * concerns on representation and the number of surveys conducted * there are potentially more concealed households unaccounted for * pressure on family expansion in interpreting findings * unclear whether methodology was meeting the G&T planning definition * transient sites not quantified in the GTAA
17 July	GT0605	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* Questions whether the G&T planning definition was fully considered in the GTAA * planning applications in relation to planning definition – have applications been granted in order to meet demand?
17 July	GT0603	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Main aims and objectives	Observations	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * understands the constraints of the district * no additional aims to consider
17 July	GT0609	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Consultation question – Site assessments	Observations	* Parking for visitors should be available if required
17 July	GT0614	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Ltd	Land adj. Valley Park south, Lower Road, Hextable	Support	* Disagrees with objections to the site * adequate screening * village would not be impacted by 5 additional pitches * good infrastructure and amenity to support the development * plan takes a pragmatic and realistic view to finding new sites * access and road safety not a concern * no risk to flooding according to the Environment Agency
23 July	GT0615	Anonymous		Chapter 7 – Potential site options	Observations	* Uneven and unfair distribution of sites within the district * Concentration of sites in the north of the district * Greenbelt and AONB * Pressure on limited local facilities and amenities * Comments on crime/theft/anti social behaviour * Concerns

Date	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of	Summary
submitted					response	
						the state of the s
0411	070004	D I		0 11 11		over rubbish * Increases in traffic and road safety
24 July	GT0931	Patrick		Consultation question -	Support	* Seven Acres Farm * up to 10 additional pitches * 7 acres in
0.4 1	OTOOOF	O'Driscoll		Additional sites	Ol +:	total
24 July	GT0935	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Additional sites	Observations	* (Seven Acres Farm) Family have been at the site for 9 years, they get on well with locals and most of their children have been born there. * The site also has B1 industrial planning for a barn and units. * Have moved entrance due to the old entrance being one blind bend. * Now that they have a base they travel less and children are settled at school. * If they were given permission to add more pitches these would be in the main for families/friends/people that they know but they would be open to allowing people they don't know to move in. * Happy to manage the site. He thought the extra pitches
						would be occupied by mainly Irish travellers, although he said he knew some couples where one was English and the other Irish and he would be happy for them to move on. * would also consider running a transit site.
24 July	GT0933	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Design and layout guidance	Observations	* Manager on site to run it day-to-day rather than the council running a site
24 July	GT0720	Sharon Banks	Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	Consultation question – Duty to cooperate	Observations	* Accepts the constraints of the district * TWBC is also restricted with 22% GB, 70% AONB and flooding around Paddock Wood * Due to start GTAA in the Autumn 2014 * Highly unlikely that TWBC will be able to help SDC * Not aware of site allocations near the SDC/TWBC boundary
24 July	GT0934	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Rejected site options	Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
24 July	GT0932	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Travelling showpeople	Object	* No need for additional pitches for the TS community
24 July	GT0929	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements	Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
24 July	GT0928	O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Criteria	Support	* Agrees with the criteria used * no additional criteria to consider * notes the growing importance of education in the G&T community
24 July	GT0927	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Evidence base	Observations	* 72 pitches not enough * agrees with the planning definition of G&Ts
24 July	GT0926	Patrick		Consultation question -	Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * no additional aims

Date submitted	Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Document section	Nature of response	Summary
		O'Driscoll		Main aim and objectives		to be considered
24 July	GT0930	Patrick O'Driscoll		Consultation question – Site assessments	Object	* Disagrees with the site assessments * too many travellers in one area * size of the new proposed sites (15 pitches) too large * depends on the conditions imposed on visitor spaces
29 July	GT0938	R Langridge		Land south of Mesne Way, part of Timberden Farm, Shoreham	Observations	* Access concerns * AONB concerns * SDC bought site to protect it from unsuitable development
12 August	GT0937	L and CJ Rae		Land at Fort Halstead, Halstead (outside of major developed site boundary)	Observations	* Already enough sites in the Halstead area * supports the extension of the existing site at Knockholt station over Fort Halstead
12 August	GT0936	L and CJ Roe		Land east of Knockholt station, Halstead	Observations	* Already enough sites in the Halstead area * supports the extension of the existing site at Knockholt station over Fort Halstead
15 August	GT0939	Maureen M		Land south of Mesne Way, part of Timberden Farm, Shoreham	Object	* Objection to development of site for G&T accommodation